

# The role of the attentional gradient in line bisection performance of simulated hemineglect

## Parampal GREWAL, Jayalakshmi VISWANATHAN, Jason JS BARTON

Human Vision and Eye Movement Laboratory, Medicine (Neurology), Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of British Columbia, Canada orted by an NSERC undergradua research award, CIHR operating grant MOOP 81270

### **INTRODUCTION:**

- · Hemineglect may involve a gradient of attention favouring ipsilateral space.
- · Patients also bias line bisection ipsilaterally.
- **QUESTION:** Is bisection bias due to the attentional gradient?

### STRATEGY:

- · Examine this in a simulated neglect model
- · Create attentional gradient with a demanding conjunction search task involving luminance and movement on the right side of the screen.

### EXPERIMENT 1:

· Manual line bisection simultaneous with right-sided conjunction search task.

### **METHODS**

- · 12 subjects, 2 blocks, 48 trials.
- · 'control' bisection alone
- · 'simulated left neglect' bisection plus right conjunction search task



### RESULT:

· Neglect-like ocular motor behaviour, with shift of fixations to right, but only a small rightward shift of bisection compared to control condition.

• Did the conjunction search in fact generate an attentional gradient?

### **METHODS**

**EXPERIMENT 2:** 

- Randomly occurring probe flashes presented at different horizontal positions, subjects pressed key if they detected the probe.
  - •12 subjects, 2 blocks, 96 trials,
  - 'control' flashes alone
  - 'neglect' flashes + search task



# -20 -10 0 10 20 3 -10 0 10 horizontal position (°) 0 horizontal position (°) 0.3 control heminealec .0.2 ١<u>5</u>0.1

### **RESULTS:**

 Accuracy of probes detection shows convincing · Ocular motor shift replicated, but still only very small attentional gradient, which is also reflected in shift of bisection. However flash probes now show no similar ocular motor behaviour to Experiment 1 convincing evidence of attentional gradient.

### **EXPERIMENT 3:**

 Does the conjunction search task create an attentional gradient *during* line bisection?

### METHODS

- Probe flashes occur while subjects perform line bisection AND right conjunction search task.
  - 12 subjects, 2 blocks, 48 trials
  - 'control' flashes + bisection
  - 'neglect' flashes + bisection + search task



0° horizontal position (°)

### **EXPERIMENT 4:**

• If we use very brief line presentation, can the attentional gradient shift perception of line mid-point?

### **METHODS:**

- Pre-bisected lines were presented for 60ms, subjects responded whether mark was left or right of line center.
- 10 subjects, 2 blocks, 108 trials
- 'control' bisection
- 'neglect' bisection + search task

### **RESULTS:**

· Ocular motor shift replicated, but no shift of perceived line mid-point. Rather, accuracy of judgments is reduced in neglect simulation







### CONCLUSIONS:

- Right conjunction search task can produce an attentional aradient.
- This can bias ocular motor behaviour during line bisection, but creates only a minimal shift in bisection judgments.
- This may in part be due to marked reduction in the attentional gradient when line bisection task is added.
- Brief line presentation, too brief to allow saccades, still does not shift bisection point.
- Perhaps humans are too adept at rapid shifts of attention, making this search task an inadequate model of neglect.
- Alternatively, bisection bias may reflect other phenomena. such as altered representation of spatial metrics.



